g.	(ase 2:11-cv-03106-MMM-JCG Document 1 Filed 04/13/11 Page 1 of 7 Page ID #;5
٠.		
	1 2 3 4 5 6	Mahadhi Corzano (SBN: 254905) Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 401 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel: 323-988-2400 x255 Fax: 866-583-3695 mcorzano@consumerlawcenter.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, STEVEN TUTOR
	7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	8 .	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CLASS
	9 S	TOTOK,
	10	Plaintiff, v. Case No. 11 1 - 03 106 FTM COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
1	1	
1:	$\frac{1}{2}$ SO	GNATURE MANAGEMENT (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
13	.11	
14		Defendant.
15		
		VEDIMINA
16		STEVEN TUTOR (Plainting of
17	follor	STEVEN TUTOR (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the wing against SIGNATURE MANAGEMENT SOLUTION.
18		wing against SIGNATURE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS, (Defendant):
19		IN PORTOR -
20	1.	Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the E
		Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).
21	2.	Count II of the Plaintiff's Co.
22		Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788 et seq. (RFDCPA).
24	,	JURISDICTION
.	3. J	urisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such
25	ac	ctions may be brought and the country of the states that such
-		ctions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court
-	-	district court
11		

9

- without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 4. Defendant conducts business in the state of California, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in West Covina, Los Angeles County, California.
- 7. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(h).
- 8. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6) and Cal. Civ. Code §1788.2(c), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 9. Defendant is a national company with its headquarters in Charlotte, North Carolina.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Defendant places collections calls to Plaintiff seeking and demand payment for an
- 12. Defendant calls Plaintiff at 909-272-7563.
- 13. Defendant calls Plaintiff from 877-289-1126.
- 14. Defendant threatened to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff if Plaintiff did no pay the alleged
- 15. Defendant threatened Plaintiff that he would go to jail if he did not pay the alleged debt.
- 16. On February 8, 2011, Plaintiff's counsel sent correspondence to Defendant's counsel.

10

8

20

17. On February 23, 2011, Defendant called Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 18. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated $\S1692c(a)(2)$ by contacting Plaintiff once Defendant knew Plaintiff was represented by an attorney with respect to the alleged debt.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - c. Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by falsely implying that Plaintiff's non-payment of her alleged debt would result in Plaintiff's arrest or imprisonment.
 - d. Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff even though Defendant does not intend to do so.
 - e. Defendant violated §1692e(7) of the FDCPA by falsely representing and/or implying that Plaintiff committed a crime in order to disgrace the Plaintiff.
 - Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt by falsely implying that Plaintiff's non-payment of her alleged debt would result in Plaintiff's arrest or imprisonment.
 - Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt by threatening to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff even though Defendant does not intend to do so.
- h. Defendant violate §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt by falsely representing and/or implying that Plaintiff committed a crime in order to disgrace the Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, STEVEN TUTOR, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, SIGNATURE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS, for the following:

- 19. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 20. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 21. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all of the allegations in Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint as the allegations in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 23. Defendant violated the RFDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated §1788.10(e) of the RFDCPA by threatening Plaintiff that the nonpayment of her alleged debt may result in the arrest of Plaintiff when such action is not in fact not contemplated by Defendant or permitted by law.
 - b. Defendant violated §1788.13(j) of the RFDCPA by falsely representing that a legal proceeding is about to be instituted unless payment of a consumer debt is made by Plaintiff.
 - c. Defendant violated §1788.14(c) of the RFDCPA by initiating communications with Plaintiff when Defendant has been previously been notified in writing by Plaintiff's attorney that Plaintiff is being represented by such attorney.
 - d. Defendant violated §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, STEVEN TUTOR, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, SIGNATURE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS, for the following:

. 23

24

25

PAGE

SETE **LEDEX OFFICE**

0299-996--929

98:80 03/18/2011

Case 2:11-cv-03106-MMM-JCG Documen	t ₁ 1 Filed 04/13/11 Page 7 of 7 Page ID #:11
	, produce
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
STEVEN TUTOR	CT OF CALIFORNIA
SIEVEN TOTOR	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S)	CV11-03106 Fm
SIGNATURE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS	A_ 08
STOTATIONS WINTYAGENT SOLUTIONS	
	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	DOIMINO NO
Within 21 days after service of this summons must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of conterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Makerohn & Moss, Ltd.; 10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 40 adgment by default will be entered against you for the reliour answer or motion with the court.	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer hadhi Corzano, Esq. , whose address is
APR 1 3 2011	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated:	JULIE PRADU\
	By: Deputy Clerk
	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
se 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States ag days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	ency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)